

# ON AUBRY SETS AND MATHER'S ACTION FUNCTIONAL

DANIEL MASSART

**ABSTRACT.** We study Lagrangian systems on a closed manifold  $M$ . We link the differentiability of Mather's  $\beta$ -function with the topological complexity of the complement of the Aubry set. As a consequence, when  $M$  is a closed, orientable surface, the differentiability of the  $\beta$ -function at a given homology class is forced by the irrationality of the homology class. This allows us to prove the two-dimensional case of a conjecture by Mañé.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We start by recalling some facts about Aubry-Mather theory. Let  $M$  be a smooth, closed, connected  $n$ -dimensional manifold and  $L$  be a Lagrangian on the tangent bundle  $TM$ , that is, a  $C^r, r \geq 2$  function on  $TM$  which is convex and superlinear when restricted to any fiber. The Euler-Lagrange equation then defines a flow  $\Phi_t$  on  $TM$ , complete in the autonomous case. Throughout this paper we assume  $M$  to be endowed with a fixed Riemann metric, with respect to which we evaluate distances and norms in the tangent bundle ; our results do not depend on the metric. Denote by  $\pi$  the canonical projection  $TM \rightarrow M$ .

For  $x, y \in M$  define  $h_t(x, y)$  as the minimum, over all absolutely continuous curves  $\gamma: [0, t] \rightarrow M$  with  $\gamma(0) = x, \gamma(t) = y$ , of  $\int_0^t L(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) ds$ . Then, by Fathi's weak KAM theorem ([Fa97a]) there exists  $c(L) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (h_t(x, y) + c(L)t)$  is finite for every  $x, y$ . This  $\liminf$ , originally defined in [Mr93], is called the Peierls barrier and denoted  $h(x, y)$  and  $c(L)$  is Mañé's critical value (see [Mn97]). The Aubry set  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is then defined in [Fa97b] as the zero locus of  $h$  restricted to the diagonal in  $M \times M$ . The canonical projection  $\pi$  is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$  of velocity vectors of orbits in  $\mathcal{A}_0$  (Graph Property). Furthermore  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$  is compact and  $\Phi_t$ -invariant.

---

*Date:* February 1, 2008.

Fathi's weak KAM theorem asserts that there exists a Lipschitz function  $u_+$  (resp.  $u_-$ ) such that  $u_{\pm}(\gamma(t)) - u_{\pm}(\gamma(0)) \leq \int_0^t (L + c(L))(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) ds$  for every absolutely continuous path  $\gamma: [0, t] \rightarrow M$ , which is written  $u \prec L + c(L)$  for short, and such that for every  $x \in M$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  there is a  $C^1$  path  $\gamma: [0, t] \rightarrow M$  with  $\gamma(0) = x$  (resp.  $\gamma(t) = x$ ) achieving equality. Such functions come in pairs, called conjugate pairs  $(u_+, u_-)$  such that  $u_+ \leq u_-$  with equality on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Theorem 6 of [Fa97b] asserts that  $h(x, y) = \sup\{u_-(y) - u_+(x)\}$ , where the supremum is taken over conjugate pairs of weak KAM solutions.

For every closed 1-differential  $\omega$ ,  $L - \omega$  is a convex and superlinear Lagrangian, we sometimes denote  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  its Aubry set  $\mathcal{A}_0(L - \omega)$ . Mather's  $\alpha$ -function is defined in [Mr90] as

$$\alpha(\omega) = -\min\left\{\int_{TM} (L - \omega)d\mu: \mu \in \mathcal{M}\right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the set of closed measures on  $TM$ , that is (see [Ba99]) the compactly supported probability measures  $\mu$  on  $TM$  such that  $\int df d\mu = 0$  for every  $C^1$  function  $f$  on  $M$ . In other words, those are the measures with a well-defined homology class. The measures achieving the minimum are invariant by the Euler-Lagrange flow  $\Phi_t$  of  $L$  (see [Ba99]). The quantity  $\alpha$  defines a convex and superlinear function on  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ , twice the squareroot of which is also called stable norm when  $L$  is a metric (see [Mt97] and the references therein). It is convex and superlinear and its Fenchel transform is Mather's  $\beta$ -function on  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$ , which is defined, for every real homology class  $h$ , as

$$\beta(h) = \min\left\{\int_{TM} (L)d\mu: \mu \in \mathcal{M}, [\mu] = h\right\}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\omega$  be the closure in  $TM$  of the union of the supports of measures in  $\mathcal{M}$  achieving the minimum in the expression of  $\alpha$ . Such measures are called  $\omega$ -minimising measures, or just minimising measures if  $[\omega] = 0$ . We call Mather set of  $L$  and  $\omega$ , and denote  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$  the projection  $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\omega)$ ; it is contained in  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  ([Fa97a]). In particular we call Mather set of  $L$  the Mather set  $\mathcal{M}_0$  corresponding to the zero cohomology class.

For every  $[\omega] \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$  we call  $F_\omega$  the maximal face of the epigraph  $\Gamma_\alpha$  of  $\alpha$  containing  $[\omega]$  in its interior (see [Mt97]), and  $\text{Vect } F_\omega$  the underlying vector space of the affine subspace generated by  $F_\omega$  in  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ . Beware that  $\text{Vect } F_\omega$  is not, unless  $F_\omega$  contains the origin, the vector space generated by  $F_\omega$ . Note that  $F_\omega = \{[\omega]\}$  if  $\alpha$  is strictly convex at  $[\omega]$ . The value of  $\alpha$  at the null cohomology class is Mañé's critical value  $c(L)$ .

In section 3 we relate the dimension of the faces of  $\Gamma_\alpha$  to the topological complexity of the complement of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  in  $M$ , as follows. Let  $C_\omega(\epsilon)$  be the set of integer homology classes which are represented by a piecewise  $C^1$  closed curve made with arcs contained in  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ , except for a remainder of total length less than  $\epsilon$ . Let  $C_\omega$  be the intersection of  $C_\omega(\epsilon)$  over all  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let  $V_\omega$  be the vector space spanned in  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$  by  $C_\omega$ . Note that  $V_\omega$  is an integer subspace of  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$ , that is, it has a basis of integer elements (images in  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$  of elements of  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ ).

We denote

- by  $V_\omega^\perp$  the vector space of cohomology classes of one-forms of class  $C^1$  that vanish on  $V_\omega$ .
- by  $G_\omega$  the vector space of cohomology classes of one-forms of class  $C^1$  that vanish in  $T_x M$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$
- by  $E_\omega$  the vector space of cohomology classes of one-forms of class  $C^1$ , the supports of which are disjoint from  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ .

**Theorem 1.** *We have  $E_\omega \subset \text{Vect} F_\omega \subset G_\omega \subset V_\omega^\perp$ . When  $M$  is a closed, orientable surface all inclusions are equalities and furthermore  $\text{Vect} F_\omega$  is an integer subset of  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *When  $M$  is a closed, orientable surface the vector space  $\text{Vect} F_\omega$  is lower semi-continuous with respect to the Lagrangian.*

Theorem 1 means that when  $M$  is a closed, orientable surface, the dimension of the face  $F_\omega$  equals the number of homologically independant closed curves disjoint from  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ .

As a corollary we get differentiability results for  $\beta$ . The idea here was given to the author by Albert Fathi.

Let  $h$  be a homology class. A cohomology class  $\omega$  is said to be a subderivative for  $\beta$  at  $h$  if  $\langle \omega, h \rangle = \beta(h) + \alpha(\omega)$ . The subderivatives for  $\beta$  at  $h$  form a face  $F_h$  of  $\Gamma_\alpha$ . By proposition 6 the Aubry (resp. Mather) sets for all the cohomology classes in the interior of this face coincide. We call that Aubry set (resp. Mather set), the Aubry set (resp. Mather set) of  $h$ , and denote it  $\mathcal{A}_h$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}_h$ ).

Recall that the tangent cone to the epigraph of  $\beta$  at  $h$  is the smallest cone in  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$  with vertex  $(h, \beta(h))$  and containing the epigraph of  $\beta$ . We say that the  $\beta$ -function is differentiable at  $h$  in the direction  $d$  if the tangent cone to the epigraph of  $\beta$  at  $h$  contains the affine subspace  $h + \mathbb{R}d$ .

Thus we say the  $\beta$ -function is differentiable in  $k$  directions at a homology class  $h$  if the tangent cone at  $h$  to the epigraph of  $\beta$  splits as a metric

product of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and another cone which contains no straight line (affine subspace of dimension one).

We say a homology class  $h$  is  $k$ -irrational if  $k$  is the dimension of the smallest subspace of  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$  generated by integer classes and containing  $h$ . In particular 1-irrational means “on a line with rational slope” and  $\dim H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$ -irrational means completely irrational. We call rational any homology class of the form  $1/nh$  where  $n$  is an integer and  $h$  is the image in  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$  of an integer homology class. The integrality of  $\text{Vect } F_\omega$  has the following consequence :

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $M$  be a closed orientable surface, and  $L$  be a Lagrangian on  $M$ . At a  $k$ -irrational homology class  $h$  the  $\beta$ -function of  $L$  is differentiable in at least  $k$  directions.*

This was conjectured, and proved in the torus case, by V. Bangert. A similar result was proved for twist maps of the annulus by J. Mather in [Mr90]. See also [D93].

In particular when  $M$  is a closed, orientable surface,  $\beta$  is differentiable in every direction at a completely irrational class. Rademacher's theorem says a convex function is differentiable almost everywhere but does not provide an explicit set of differentiability points. In [BIK97] a  $C^r$  metric is constructed on a torus of dimension  $8r + 8$ , such that its stable norm is not differentiable in all directions at some completely irrational class.

On the other hand if  $\beta$  is differentiable in one (resp. no) direction at some homology class  $h$ , then  $h$  must be 1-irrational (resp. zero). Also note that at every non-zero class  $\beta$  is differentiable in the radial direction.

In the next section we investigate generic properties of Lagrangian systems. We say a property is true for a generic Lagrangian if, given a Lagrangian  $L$ , there exists a residual (countable intersection of open and dense subsets) subset  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $C^\infty(M)$  such that the property holds for  $L + f, \forall f \in \mathcal{O}$ . Mañé ([Mn96, CDI97]) proved that for a generic Lagrangian, there exists a unique minimising measure and put forth in [Mn96] the

**Conjecture 4** (Mañé). *For a generic Lagrangian  $L$  on a closed manifold  $M$  there exist a dense open set  $U_0$  of  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\forall \omega \in U_0$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_\omega(L)$  consists of a single periodic orbit, or fixed point.*

As an application of Theorems 1, 2, and the results of [Mt97] we prove this conjecture to be true when  $M$  is a closed, orientable surface.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Recall that by a theorem of Fathi ([Fa00], p. 104) there exists a pair of conjugate weak KAM solutions  $(u_+, u_-)$  such that  $u_+$  and  $u_-$  coincide only on  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ . The main result of this section is

**Proposition 5.** *For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an integrable, non-negative function  $G_\epsilon$  on  $M$  such that  $G_\epsilon^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{A}_0$  and for every absolutely continuous arc  $\gamma: [0, t] \rightarrow M$  we have*

$$(1) \quad \int_0^t (L + c(L))(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) dt \geq u_+(\gamma(t)) - u_+(\gamma(0)) + \int_0^t G_\epsilon(\gamma(t)) dt - \epsilon.$$

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is compact and the functions  $h_t$  are equilipschitz on  $M \times M$  ([Mr93], see also [Fa00], p. 105), by Ascoli's theorem, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $T > 0$  such that

$$\forall x, y \in M, t \geq T \Rightarrow h_t(x, y) \geq h(x, y) - c(L)t - \epsilon.$$

Take  $T(\epsilon)$  to be the infimum of such  $T$ 's.

Let  $\gamma: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^1$  arc. Take  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\chi_\epsilon$  be  $\epsilon / \max(1, T(\epsilon))$  times the characteristic function of the closed set  $(u_- - u_+)^{-1}([2\epsilon, +\infty[)$ . We prove, for all positive  $t$ ,

$$(2) \quad \int_0^t (L + c(L))(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(s) ds \geq u_+(\gamma(t)) - u_+(\gamma(0)) + \int_0^t \chi_\epsilon(\gamma(s)) ds - \epsilon.$$

The proposition follows by taking  $G_\epsilon$  to be the upper bound of the functions  $\chi_\delta$  over all  $\delta \leq \epsilon$ .

Define a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  by  $t_0 = 0$  and  $t_{i+1} =$

$$\max\{t \geq t_i: t - t_i \geq T(\epsilon) \text{ and } \text{Leb}([t_i, t] \cap \gamma^{-1}(\text{supp}(\chi_\epsilon))) \leq T(\epsilon)\}$$

where  $\text{Leb}$  denotes Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Observe that  $\gamma(t_i) \in \text{supp}(\chi_\epsilon)$ , that  $t_{i+1} - t_i \geq T(\epsilon)$ , and that for all  $x$  between  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$

$$\int_{t_i}^x \chi_\epsilon(\gamma)(s) ds \leq \epsilon \frac{\text{Leb}([t_i, x] \cap \gamma^{-1}(\text{supp}(\chi_\epsilon)))}{\max(1, T(\epsilon))} \leq \epsilon.$$

We have, taking  $t_n$  to be the last  $t_i$  before  $t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t (L + c(L))(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(s) ds = \\ & \sum_{t_{i+1} \leq t} \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} L(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(s) ds + c(L)(t_{i+1} - t_i) \right) \\ & + \int_{t_n}^t L(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(s) ds + c(L)(t - t_n) \end{aligned}$$

thus, since  $u_{\pm}$  are weak KAM solutions, and by the definitions of  $h_t$ , and  $T(\epsilon)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t L(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(s)ds + c(L)t &\geq \sum_{t_{i+1} \leq t} h_{t_{i+1}-t_i}(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})) \\
&\quad + c(L)(t_{i+1} - t_i) + u_+(\gamma(t)) - u_+(\gamma(t_n)) \\
&\geq \sum_{t_{i+1} \leq t} (h(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})) - \epsilon) + u_+(\gamma(t)) - u_+(\gamma(t_n)) \\
&\geq \sum_{t_{i+1} \leq t} (u_-(\gamma(t_{i+1})) - u_+(\gamma(t_i)) - \epsilon) + u_+(\gamma(t)) - u_+(\gamma(t_n)) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=0}^n (u_-(\gamma(t_i)) - u_+(\gamma(t_i)) - \epsilon) + u_+(\gamma(t)) - u_+(\gamma(0)) \\
&\geq u_+(\gamma(t)) - u_+(\gamma(0)) + \epsilon \#\{i / t_i \leq t\} \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \chi_{\epsilon}(\gamma)(s)ds + u_+(\gamma(t)) - u_+(\gamma(0)) \\
&\geq u_+(\gamma(t)) - u_+(\gamma(0)) + \int_0^t \chi_{\epsilon}(\gamma)(s)ds - \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**2.1. proof of Proposition 6.** The next proposition enables us to speak of the Aubry set of a face of the epigraph of  $\alpha$ , and therefore, of the Aubry set of a homology class.

**Proposition 6.** *If a cohomology class  $[\omega_1]$  belongs to the maximal face  $F_{\omega}$  of  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  containing  $[\omega]$  in its interior, then  $\mathcal{A}_{\omega} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\omega_1}$ . In particular, if  $[\omega_1]$  belongs to the interior of  $F_{\omega}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_{\omega} = \mathcal{A}_{\omega_1}$ . Conversely, if two cohomology classes  $\omega$  and  $\omega_1$  are such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\omega} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\omega_1} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\alpha(\omega) = \alpha(a\omega + (1-a)\omega_1)$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ , i.e.  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  has a face containing  $\omega$  and  $\omega_1$ .*

*Proof.* We can find  $\omega_2 \in F_{\omega}$  and  $a \in ]0, 1[$  such that  $\omega = a\omega_1 + (1-a)\omega_2$ . By [Fa98a], the following property characterises  $\mathcal{A}_{\omega}$ :

$\forall x \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega}, \exists t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and  $\gamma_n: [0, t_n] \rightarrow M$ , such that  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(t_n) = x$

$$\text{and } \int_0^{t_n} (L - \omega)(\gamma_n, \dot{\gamma}_n)(s)ds + \alpha(\omega)t \rightarrow 0.$$

Now  $\omega = a\omega_1 + (1-a)\omega_2$ , and  $\alpha(\omega) = a\alpha(\omega_1) + (1-a)\alpha(\omega_2)$  since  $[\omega_1], [\omega_2] \in F_\omega$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & a \left[ \int_0^{t_n} (L - \omega_1)(\gamma_n, \dot{\gamma}_n)(s) ds + \alpha(\omega_1)t \right] \\ & + (1-a) \left[ \int_0^{t_n} (L - \omega_2)(\gamma_n, \dot{\gamma}_n)(s) ds + \alpha(\omega_2)t \right] \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that both summands on the left are non-negative, for if  $u_-$  is a weak KAM solution for  $L - \omega_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{t_n} (L - \omega_i)(\gamma_n, \dot{\gamma}_n)(s) ds + \alpha(\omega_i)t & \geq u_-(\gamma(t_n)) - u_-(\gamma(0)) \\ & = u_-(x) - u_-(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{hence } \int_0^{t_n} (L - \omega_i)(\gamma_n, \dot{\gamma}_n)(s) ds + \alpha(\omega_i)t \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Conversely, let  $(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})$  be an orbit in  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\omega \cap \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\omega_1}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t [L - \omega + \alpha(\omega)](\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(s) ds &= u(\gamma(t)) - u(\gamma(0)), \\ \int_0^t [L - \omega_1 + \alpha(\omega_1)](\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(s) ds &= u_1(\gamma(t)) - u_1(\gamma(0)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u$  (resp.  $u_1$ ) is a weak KAM solution for  $L - \omega$  (resp.  $L - \omega_1$ ). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t [L - (a\omega + (1-a)\omega_1) + \alpha(a\omega + (1-a)\omega_1)](\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(s) ds &= \\ & a[u(\gamma(t)) - u(\gamma(0))] + (1-a)[u_1(\gamma(t)) - u_1(\gamma(0))] \\ & + t[\alpha(a\omega + (1-a)\omega_1) - a\alpha(\omega) - (1-a)\alpha(\omega_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

The first two summands on the right are bounded below, hence for the sum to be bounded below, we must have  $\alpha(\omega) = a\alpha(\omega) + (1-a)\alpha(\omega_1) = \alpha(a\omega + (1-a)\omega_1)$ , since by convexity of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha(a\omega + (1-a)\omega_1) \leq a\alpha(\omega) + (1-a)\alpha(\omega_1)$ .  $\square$

## 3. FACES OF THE EPIGRAPH

*Proof of  $G_\omega \subset V_\omega^\perp$ .*

It amounts to showing that a one-form in  $G_\omega$  vanishes on  $V_\omega$ . Let  $\omega \in G_\omega$  and let  $h$  be represented as in the definition of  $V_\omega$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Call  $S$  the part of the curve representing  $h$  which consists in segments of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ , and  $R$  the remainder. Now  $\langle [\omega], h \rangle = \int_S \omega + \int_R \omega$  where the first summand is zero, and the second summand can be bounded by  $C\epsilon$ , where  $C$  depends on  $L$  and  $\omega$  only. The conclusion follows since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrarily small.  $\square$

*Proof of  $\text{Vect } F_\omega \subset G_\omega$ .*

Take  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in F_\omega$ . By Proposition 6 the Aubry sets for  $L - \omega_1$  and  $L - \omega_2$  coincide with  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ . The weak KAM solutions  $(u_+, u_-)$  are differentiable at every point of  $\mathcal{A}_{(u^+, u^-)}$  (see [Fa97a]) with derivative the Legendre transform of the (well defined) tangent vector. This derivative is Lipschitz and furthermore (see [Fa00], p. 92) we have

$$(3) \quad |u_\pm(\phi(y)) - u_\pm(\phi(x)) - \frac{\partial L - \omega}{\partial v}(\phi(x), \dot{\gamma}(0)) \circ D_x \phi(y - x)| \leq K \|y - x\|^2$$

where  $\phi$  is a local chart on  $M$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  are two points in the inverse image of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  by the chart,  $\dot{\gamma}(0)$  is the tangent vector to  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  at  $\phi(x)$ , and  $K$  only depends on the chart. So Whitney's extension theorem ([Fe69], theorem 3.1.14) allows us to take  $\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2$  two  $C^1$  functions, the derivatives of which coincide with that of  $u_+^1$  and  $u_+^2$  respectively along  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ . Replace  $\omega_2$  by  $\omega_2 + d\tilde{u}_1 - d\tilde{u}_2$ . This one-form coincides with  $\omega_1$  in the tangent space to every point of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  hence the cohomology class  $[\omega_1 - \omega_2]$  belongs to  $G_\omega$ .  $\square$

*Proof of  $E_\omega \subset \text{Vect } F_\omega$*

Assume, replacing if necessary  $L$  by  $L - \omega$ , that  $\omega = 0$ . We actually prove a slightly stronger statement. Call  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_0$  the intersection with  $\mathcal{A}_0$  of the union of Hausdorff limits, when  $\eta$  tends to 0, of supports of  $L - \eta$ -minimising measures, and call  $\mathcal{T}_0$  its projection to  $M$ . Let  $\eta$  be supported away from  $\mathcal{T}_0$ .

For starters we prove that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $L + \delta\eta$ -minimising measure  $\mu$ , for all  $(x, v)$  in  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ , we have  $\delta|\eta_x(v)| \leq G_1(x)$  where  $G_1$  comes from Proposition 5.

Indeed, assume otherwise. Then there exists a sequence  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $L + \delta_n\eta$ -minimising measures  $\mu_n$ , and points  $(x_n, v_n)$  in  $\text{supp}(\mu_n)$  such that for all  $n$ , we have

$$(4) \quad \delta_n |\eta_{x_n}(v_n)| > G_1(x_n).$$

The sequence  $(x_n, v_n)$  is bounded in  $TM$  because the measures  $\mu_n$  sit in the energy levels  $\alpha(\delta_n[\eta])$ . So we may assume  $(x_n, v_n) \rightarrow (x, v)$ . Then



we have  $G_1(x_n) \longrightarrow 0$  so by construction of  $G_1$ ,  $G_1(x) = 0$  and  $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Besides,  $(x, v)$  belongs to a Hausdorff limit point of the sequence of compact sets  $\text{supp}(\mu_n)$  so  $x \in \mathcal{T}_0$ . But then for  $n$  large enough, since  $\eta$  is supported outside  $\mathcal{T}_0$ , we should have  $\eta_{x_n}(v_n) = 0$  which contradicts Equation 4 since  $G_1$  is non-negative.

Therefore we see that for every orbit  $\gamma$  in the support of an  $L + \delta\eta$ -minimising measure  $\mu$ , by Equation 1 we have

$$\int_0^t (L + c(L) \pm \delta\eta)(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(s) ds \geq u_+(\gamma(t)) - u_+(\gamma(0)) + \int_0^t (G_1 \pm \delta\eta)(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(s) ds - 1$$

so, by averaging and letting  $t$  go to infinity,

$$-c(L \pm \delta\eta) \geq \int (G_1 \pm \delta\eta) d\mu - c(L)$$

whence, since  $G_1 \pm \delta\eta$  is non-negative on the support of  $\mu$ ,

$$\alpha(0) = c(L) \geq c(L \pm \delta\eta) = \alpha(\pm\delta\eta).$$

By convexity of  $\alpha$ , we have  $2\alpha(0) \leq \alpha(\delta\eta) + \alpha(-\delta\eta)$  so we get  $\alpha(0) = \alpha(\pm\delta\eta)$  which implies that  $\pm\delta[\eta]$  belong to  $F_0$ .  $\square$

**3.1. The two-dimensional case.** We prove that when  $M$  is a closed surface,  $V_\omega^\perp \subset E_\omega$ , thus proving all inclusions to be equalities. Since  $V_\omega$  is an integer subset of  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$  this implies that  $\text{Vect } F_\omega$  is an integer subset of  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

To that end we prove that there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  such that every closed curve contained in  $U$  has its homology class in  $V_\omega$ . First let us show how this implies the equality. If a 1-form  $\alpha$  vanishes on every element of  $V_\omega$ , then there exists a function  $f$  defined on  $U$  such that the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $U$  is equal to  $df$ . Extend  $f$  to  $M$ , now  $\alpha - df$  is cohomologous to  $\alpha$  and supported away from  $U$ .

Assume the surface has genus greater than one, the genus one case being treated by Bangert in [Ba94], and assume our reference metric  $g$  has negative curvature. By [BG99] every minimising orbit stays within finite distance, in the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$ , of a  $g$ -geodesic. In particular one can define the ends of a minimiser in the boundary at infinity of  $\tilde{M}$ . Call  $\lambda$  the geodesic lamination obtained from  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  by replacing each orbit by the corresponding geodesic.

From [CB88], we know that each boundary component of a connected component of the complementary set of  $\lambda$  in  $M$  is either a closed leaf of

$\lambda$ , or a finite sequence of non-closed leaves  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  such that  $\delta_i$  and  $\delta_{i+1}$  are asymptotic ( $i$  being in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Therefore each boundary component of a connected component of the complementary set of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  in  $M$  is either a closed orbit in  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ , or a finite sequence of non-closed orbits  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  such that  $\delta_i$  and  $\delta_{i+1}$  are asymptotic ( $i$  being in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Hence for each boundary component  $\delta$  of a connected component  $R$  of the complementary set of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  in  $M$  there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $\delta$  in  $R$  such that every arc contained in  $V$ , with its end on  $\delta$ , is homotopic, with fixed ends, to an arc consisting of portions of  $\delta$  and a remainder of length arbitrarily small (or no remainder at all if  $\delta$  is a closed leaf). Now we just need to take  $U$  such that  $U \cap M \setminus \mathcal{A}_\omega$  is contained in the union over all boundary components of  $R$ , and over all connected component of the complementary set of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  in  $M$ , of such neighborhoods.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 3.*

Let  $h$  be a  $k$ -irrational homology class. Then the set of subderivatives to  $\beta$  at  $h$  form a face  $F_h$  of  $\Gamma_\alpha$ . Furthermore  $\beta$  is differentiable in  $(\dim H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) - \dim F_h)$  directions. Take  $\omega$  in the interior of the face  $F_h$ . We have  $F_h \subset F_\omega$  so  $\dim G_\omega \geq \dim F_h$ .

Then for every  $\omega' \in G_\omega$  we have  $\langle \omega', h \rangle = 0$ . Note that  $\{h \in H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) : \langle \omega', h \rangle = 0 \ \forall \omega' \in G_\omega\}$  is an integer subset of  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$ , of dimension  $\dim H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) - \dim G_\omega$ .

Since  $h$  is  $k$ -irrational this implies  $\dim H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) - \dim G_\omega \geq k$  whence  $\dim H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) - \dim F_h \geq k$  which proves Corollary 3.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2*

Assume a sequence of Lagrangians  $L_n$  converges, in the  $C^2$ -topology, to a  $C^2$  Lagrangian  $L$ .

Let  $(u_n^+, u_n^-)$  be conjugate pair of weak KAM solutions for  $L_n$ . By [Fa00], p. 88 the functions  $(u_n^+, u_n^-)$  are equi-Lipschitz. By Ascoli's theorem we may assume that  $(u_n^+, u_n^-)$  converges to a pair  $(u^+, u^-)$  of Lipschitz functions. Furthermore  $u^\pm \prec L + c(L)$ . Take  $x \in M$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a  $C^1$  path  $\gamma_n : [0, t] \rightarrow M$  such that  $\gamma_n(0) = x$  (resp.  $\gamma_n(t) = x$ ) and

$$u_n^\pm(\gamma_n(t)) - u_n^\pm(\gamma_n(0)) = \int_0^t (L_n + c(L_n))(\gamma_n, \dot{\gamma}_n) ds.$$

Take  $v \in T_x M$  a limit point of  $\dot{\gamma}_n(0)$  (resp.  $\dot{\gamma}_n(t)$ ). Then the extremal trajectory  $\gamma : [0, t] \rightarrow M$  of the Lagrangian, with  $\gamma(0) = x$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$

(resp.  $\gamma(t) = x$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(t) = v$ ) is a uniform limit of  $\gamma_n$  and so

$$u^\pm(\gamma(t)) - u^\pm(\gamma(0)) = \int_0^t (L + c(L))(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) ds.$$

This shows that  $(u^+, u^-)$  are weak KAM solutions for  $L$ . Then for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $\{x \in M : u^+(x) = u^-(x)\}$  there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \geq N$ ,  $\{x \in M : u_n^+(x) = u_n^-(x)\} \subset U$ . Hence there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \geq N$ ,  $E_0(L) \subset E_0(L_n)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. ON GENERIC LAGRANGIANS

From now on we assume  $M$  to be a closed orientable surface. We begin with a

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $L$  be a Lagrangian on a closed orientable surface. The set  $S(L)$  of subderivatives to  $\beta$  at 1-irrational homology classes is dense in  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ .*

*Proof.* Assume there exists an open set  $U$  in  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $U \cap S(L) = \emptyset$ . We may assume  $U$  to be convex. Then the set  $V = \{h \in H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) : \exists \omega \in U, \langle \omega, h \rangle = \alpha(\omega) + \beta(h)\}$  is also convex. Call  $H$  the vector space  $V$  generates in  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$ . Then, since  $V$  does not contain any 1-irrational class, the codimension of  $H$  is at least one. Now  $U = \cup_{h \in V} F_h$  so there exists  $h \in V$  such that  $\dim F_h \geq 1$ . Such an  $h$  is at most  $(\dim H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) - 1)$ -irrational by Corollary 3. Take  $\omega$  in the interior of  $F_h$ ; we have  $\text{Vect} F_\omega = E_\omega$  so there exists a closed curve  $\gamma$ , such that  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  is disjoint from  $\gamma$ . Furthermore, by semi-continuity of  $\text{Vect} F_\omega = E_\omega$ , there exists a convex neighborhood  $U_1$  of  $\omega$  in  $U$  such that for all  $\omega'$  in  $U_1$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_0(L - \omega')$  is disjoint from  $\gamma$ . In particular  $H$  is contained in the integer subspace defined by the equation  $\text{Int}([\gamma], \cdot) = 0$ .

Now assume by induction we have proved that for some  $2 \leq k \leq \dim H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) - 2$  there exist  $\omega_k$  in  $U$ , a convex neighborhood  $U_k$  of  $\omega_k$  in  $U$ , and closed curves  $\gamma_1 := \gamma, \dots, \gamma_k$  such that for all  $\omega'$  in  $U_k$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_0(L - \omega')$  is disjoint from  $\gamma_1 := \gamma, \dots, \gamma_k$ . Likewise define  $V_k$  to be the set of homology classes at which elements of  $U_k$  are subderivatives, and  $H_k$  to be the vector space generated by  $V_k$ . Then  $H_k$  is contained in the integer subspace defined by the equations  $\text{Int}([\gamma_i], \cdot) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and the codimension of  $H_k$  is at least  $k$ . Assume the codimension of  $H_k$  is exactly  $k$ ; then as previously  $H_k$  is an integer subspace. Any open (in the induced topology) subset of such a subspace contains a 1-irrational class, an impossibility. So the codimension of  $H_k$  is at least  $k+1$ . Then, as previously, there exists  $h_k \in V_k$  such that  $\dim F_{h_k} \geq k+1$ . Such an  $h_k$  is at most

$(\dim H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) - k - 1)$ -irrational by Corollary 3. Take  $\omega_{k+1}$  in the interior of  $F_{h_k}$ ; we have  $\text{Vect} F_{\omega_{k+1}} = E_{\omega_{k+1}}$  so there exists a closed curve  $\gamma_{k+1}$  homologically independent from  $\gamma_1 := \gamma, \dots, \gamma_k$ , such that  $\mathcal{A}_{\omega_{k+1}}$  is disjoint from  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k+1}$ . Furthermore, by semi-continuity of  $\text{Vect} F_{\omega} = E_{\omega}$ , there exists a convex neighborhood  $U_{k+1}$  of  $\omega_{k+1}$  in  $U_k$  such that for all  $\omega'$  in  $U_{k+1}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_0(L - \omega')$  is disjoint from  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k+1}$ .

By induction we prove that  $U$  contains a  $(\dim H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) - k)$ -irrational class, for all  $k = 1, \dots, \dim H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) - 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

By [Mt97], Proposition 5, any minimizing measure with a rational homology class must be supported on a union of periodic orbits, or fixed points.

By [Mn96], Theorem D, for a given homology class  $h$ , there exists a residual subset  $\mathcal{O}_h$  of  $C^\infty(M)$  such that for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_h$  there exists a unique closed measure in  $\mathcal{M}_h(L + \phi)$ .

Then for all  $h$  with rational direction, for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_h$  there exists a unique closed measure  $\mu_{h,\phi}$  in  $\mathcal{M}_h(L + \phi)$ , supported on a union of periodic orbits  $\gamma_{h,\phi}$ . Every such periodic orbit is minimising in its homology class. Then by [Mn96], Theorem D, we may assume that  $\gamma_{h,\phi}$  consists of pairwise non homologous periodic orbits. For any given  $K$  only a finite number of integer homology classes have their  $L$ -action  $\leq K$  so then  $\gamma_{h,\phi}$  actually consists of a finite number of periodic orbits  $\gamma_{h,\phi,i}$ . For each of those orbits there exists a closed one-form  $\omega_i$  such that  $\gamma_{h,\phi,i}$  is the unique  $L - \omega_i$ -minimising measure (cf. [Mt97], Theorem 8). Then by [CI99], Theorem D, we may assume  $\gamma_{h,\phi}$  to be hyperbolic in its energy level.

Next we prove that, for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_h$ , there exists  $\epsilon(h, \phi) > 0$ , such that for any  $\lambda \in ]1 - \epsilon(h, \phi), 1 + \epsilon(h, \phi)[$ , there exists a unique closed measure  $\mu_{\lambda,\phi}$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda h}(L + \phi)$ , supported on a union of periodic orbits  $\gamma_{\lambda,\phi}$ , homotopic to  $\gamma_{h,\phi}$ .

Indeed, fix  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_h$ , and consider a sequence  $\lambda_n$  of real numbers converging to one. Let  $\mu_n$  be  $\lambda_n h$ -minimising measures. The sequence of measures  $\mu_n$  converge to an  $h$ -minimising measure, and the only possibility is that it is supported on  $\gamma_{h,\phi}$ . The latter being hyperbolic, a topological conjugacy argument proves our claim.

The set of 1-irrational homology classes is a countable union of lines. Choose a countable dense subset  $h_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Call  $\mathcal{O}$  the intersection over all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{h_i}$ ; this is a countable intersection of residual sets, hence residual. Now for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}$ , there exists an open and dense subset  $U(\phi)$  of the subset of 1-irrational homology classes, such that for any  $h \in U(\phi)$ , there exists a unique closed measure  $\mu_{h,\phi}$  in  $\mathcal{M}_h(L + \phi)$ , supported on a union of periodic orbits  $\gamma_{h,\phi}$ .

If  $M$  has genus  $\geq 2$ , by Theorems 7 and 8 of [Mt97], every subderivative to  $\beta$  at a 1-irrational homology class is contained in a face of codimension one, whether on the boundary or in the interior. By Corollary 3, if a cohomology class is contained in a face of codimension one (resp. zero), then it must be subderivative to  $\beta$  at a 1-irrational (resp. zero) homology class.

The same is true if  $M$  is a torus and  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}$ ; for in that case, in every 1-irrational homology class  $h$ , there exists a unique minimising measure. Such a measure is supported on one periodic orbit, hence  $\beta$  is not differentiable at  $h$  ([Ba94]).

Hence when  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}$   $S(L+\phi)$  equals the set of cohomology class contained in a face of codimension one or zero.

Now consider the set  $S'(L+\phi)$  of cohomology classes contained in the interior of a face of codimension one or zero, and subderivative to  $\beta$  at a point of  $U(\phi)$ . By Theorem 2  $S'(L+\phi)$  is open in  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$  for any Lagrangian  $L$ . Besides, since the interior of any face is dense in that face, and the  $h_i$  are dense in  $H_1(m, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $S'(L)$  is dense in  $S(L)$ , hence in  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ . Note that for all  $\omega \in S'(L+\phi)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$  consists of periodic orbits with the same homology class, or fixed points. Indeed if  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$  contained two homologically distinct periodic orbits, then  $V_\omega$  would contain their homology classes and its dimension would be at least two, so  $\omega$  could not lie in the interior of a face of codimension one or zero.

In particular for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\omega \in S'(L+\phi)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_\omega(L+\phi)$  consists of one periodic orbit or fixed point. This proves Conjecture 4 for surfaces.

**Acknowledgements** : the author thanks Albert Fathi for patiently explaining his theory, Victor Bangert for his kind invitation to Freiburg where part of this work was done, John Mather for pointing out a mistake in an early version, Renato Iturriaga and Hector Sanchez for the invitation to the workshop on Lagrangian Systems at CIMAT, and David Théret, as well as Patrick Bernard, for useful conversations.

## REFERENCES

- [Ba94] Bangert, Victor *Geodesic rays, Busemann functions and monotone twist maps* Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 2 (1994), no. 1, 49–63.
- [Ba95] Bangert, Victor *Minimal foliations and laminations*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994), 453–464, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [Ba99] Bangert, Victor *Minimal measures and minimizing closed normal one-currents* GAFA 9 (1999), no. 3, 413–427.
- [BG99] Boyland, Philip ; Golé, Christophe *Lagrangian systems on hyperbolic manifolds*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 19 (1999), no. 5, 1157–1173.

- [BIK97] Burago, D. ; Ivanov, S. ; Kleiner, B. *On the structure of the stable norm of periodic metrics* Math. Res. Lett. 4 (1997), no. 6, 791–808
- [C95] Carneiro, Mario Jorge *minimizing measures of the action of autonomous Lagrangians*, Nonlinearity 8 (1995), no. 6, 1077–1085.
- [CB88] Casson, Andrew J. ; Bleiler, Steven A. *Automorphisms of surfaces after Nielsen and Thurston*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 9. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1988.
- [CDI97] G. Contreras, J. Delgado, R. Iturriaga *Lagrangian flows : the dynamics of globally minimizing orbits-II*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **28** (1997), 155–196.
- [CI99] G. Contreras, R. Iturriaga, *Convex Hamiltonian without conjugate points*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 19 (1999), no. 4, 901–952.
- [D93] J. Delgado, *Vertices of the action function of a Lagrangian system*, Ph. D. thesis, IMPA, 1993.
- [Fa97a] A. Fathi *Théorème KAM faible et théorie de Mather sur les systèmes lagrangiens*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I **324** (1997), 1043–1046.
- [Fa97b] A. Fathi *Solutions KAM faible et barrières de Peierls*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I **325** (1997), 649–652.
- [Fa98a] A. Fathi *Orbites hétéroclines et ensemble de Peierls*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 326 (1998), no. 10, 1213–1216.
- [Fa98b] A. Fathi *Sur la convergence du semi-groupe de Lax-Oleinik*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 327 (1998), no. 3, 267–270.
- [Fa00] A. Fathi *Weak KAM Theorem in Lagrangian Dynamics*, to appear, Cambridge University Press.
- [Fe69] Federer, Herbert *Geometric measure theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 153 Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York 1969
- [Mn96] Mañé, Ricardo *Generic properties and problems of minimizing measures of Lagrangian systems* Nonlinearity 9 (1996), no. 2, 273–310
- [Mn97] Mañé, Ricardo *Lagrangian flows : the dynamics of globally minimizing orbits-I*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **28** (1997), 141–155.
- [Mt97] D. Massart *Stable norms for surfaces : local structure of the unit ball at rational directions*, GAFA **7** (1997), 996–1010.
- [Mr90] Mather, John N. *Differentiability of the minimal average action as a function of the rotation number*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) 21 (1990), no. 1, 59–70.
- [Mr91] J. N. Mather *Action minimizing invariant measures for positive definite Lagrangian systems*, Math. Z. **207**, 169–207 (1991).
- [Mr93] J. N. Mather *Variational construction of minimizing orbits*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **43**, 1349–1386 (1993).

CIMAT, Guanajuato, Gto., Mexico, and

GTA, UMR 5030, CNRS, Université Montpellier II, France

e-mail : massart@cimat.mx